

Mixed Tate motives over number fields and Goncharov's universality conjecture

Ismael Sierra¹

University of Glasgow

Joint work with Alexander Kupers and Daniil Rudenko

Introduction

Mixed Tate motives over number fields

F = number field.

$\mathrm{MTM}_{\mathbb{Q}}(F)$ = category of (rational) mixed Tate motives over F .
(Beilinson, Deligne, Levine, Goncharov, Voevodsky, ...)

Mixed Tate motives over number fields

F = number field.

$\mathrm{MTM}_{\mathbb{Q}}(F)$ = category of (rational) mixed Tate motives over F .
(Beilinson, Deligne, Levine, Goncharov, Voevodsky, ...)

- Informally: motives that are iterated extensions of simple pieces obtained from the cohomology projective spaces $\mathbb{Q}(-n)$.

Mixed Tate motives over number fields

F = number field.

$\text{MTM}_{\mathbb{Q}}(F)$ = category of (rational) mixed Tate motives over F .
(Beilinson, Deligne, Levine, Goncharov, Voevodsky, ...)

- Informally: motives that are iterated extensions of simple pieces obtained from the cohomology projective spaces $\mathbb{Q}(-n)$.
- This is a Tannakian tensor category, hence equivalent to

$$\text{Comod}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{MTM}}(F)}^{\text{fd}}(\text{GrMod}_{\mathbb{Q}})$$

for $\mathcal{L}^{\text{MTM}}(F)$ a graded Lie coalgebra, called *Lie coalgebra of (framed) mixed Tate motives*.

Mixed Tate motives over number fields

F = number field.

$\mathrm{MTM}_{\mathbb{Q}}(F)$ = category of (rational) mixed Tate motives over F .
(Beilinson, Deligne, Levine, Goncharov, Voevodsky, ...)

- Informally: motives that are iterated extensions of simple pieces obtained from the cohomology projective spaces $\mathbb{Q}(-n)$.
- This is a Tannakian tensor category, hence equivalent to

$$\mathrm{Comod}_{\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{MTM}}(F)}^{\mathrm{fd}}(\mathrm{GrMod}_{\mathbb{Q}})$$

for $\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{MTM}}(F)$ a graded Lie coalgebra, called *Lie coalgebra of (framed) mixed Tate motives*.

Goal: To understand $\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{MTM}}(F)$.

$K(F)$ = (algebraic) K-theory spectrum of F .

$K_d(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} := \pi_d(K(F) \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ = d -th rationalised K-theory group of F .

$K(F)$ = (algebraic) K-theory spectrum of F .

$K_d(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} := \pi_d(K(F) \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ = d -th rationalised K-theory group of F .

Fact (Soulé): $K_d(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \bigoplus_{n=1}^d K_d^{(n)}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ decomposes into eigenspaces for Adams operations.

$K(F)$ = (algebraic) K-theory spectrum of F .

$K_d(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} := \pi_d(K(F) \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ = d -th rationalised K-theory group of F .

Fact (Soulé): $K_d(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \bigoplus_{n=1}^d K_d^{(n)}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ decomposes into eigenspaces for Adams operations.

Beilinson's formula (known for number fields):

$$K_{2n-i}^{(n)}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{MTM}_{\mathbb{Q}}(F)}^i(\mathbb{Q}(-n), \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{o})).$$

By Tannakian formalism,

$$K_{2n-i}^{(n)}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} = H_i^{\text{CE}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{MTM}}(F))_n$$

MTM and K-theory II

By Tannakian formalism,

$$K_{2n-i}^{(n)}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} = H_i^{\text{CE}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{MTM}}(F))_n$$

Explicitly:

1. $\mathcal{L}^{\text{MTM}}(F) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{L}_n^{\text{MTM}}(F)$ (weight decomposition).

By Tannakian formalism,

$$K_{2n-i}^{(n)}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} = H_i^{\text{CE}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{MTM}}(F))_n$$

Explicitly:

1. $\mathcal{L}^{\text{MTM}}(F) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{L}_n^{\text{MTM}}(F)$ (weight decomposition).
2. There is a cobracket $\delta^{\text{MTM}} : \mathcal{L}^{\text{MTM}}(F) \rightarrow \Lambda^2 \mathcal{L}^{\text{MTM}}(F)$ preserving grading (no Koszul signs).

Example:

$$\delta^{\text{MTM}} : \mathcal{L}_4^{\text{MTM}}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_1^{\text{MTM}}(F) \otimes \mathcal{L}_3^{\text{MTM}}(F) \oplus \Lambda^2 \mathcal{L}_2^{\text{MTM}}(F).$$

By Tannakian formalism,

$$K_{2n-i}^{(n)}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} = H_i^{\text{CE}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{MTM}}(F))_n$$

Explicitly:

1. $\mathcal{L}^{\text{MTM}}(F) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{L}_n^{\text{MTM}}(F)$ (weight decomposition).
2. There is a cobracket $\delta^{\text{MTM}} : \mathcal{L}^{\text{MTM}}(F) \rightarrow \Lambda^2 \mathcal{L}^{\text{MTM}}(F)$ preserving grading (no Koszul signs).

Example:

$$\delta^{\text{MTM}} : \mathcal{L}_4^{\text{MTM}}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_1^{\text{MTM}}(F) \otimes \mathcal{L}_3^{\text{MTM}}(F) \oplus \Lambda^2 \mathcal{L}_2^{\text{MTM}}(F).$$

3. CE complex:

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{MTM}}(F) \rightarrow \Lambda^2 \mathcal{L}^{\text{MTM}}(F) \rightarrow \Lambda^3 \mathcal{L}^{\text{MTM}}(F) \rightarrow \dots$$

E.g.

$$H_1^{\text{CE}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{MTM}}(F))_n = \ker(\delta^{\text{MTM}} : \mathcal{L}_n^{\text{MTM}}(F) \rightarrow (\Lambda^2 \mathcal{L}^{\text{MTM}}(F))_n).$$

Algebraic K-theory of number fields

Clearly, $K_0(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}$, $K_1(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} = F_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times} := F^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

Borel computed:

(i) $K_{2i}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} = 0$ for $i \geq 1$.

(ii) $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(K_{2i-1}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \begin{cases} r_2 & \text{if } i \text{ even, } i \geq 2 \\ r_1 + r_2 & \text{if } i \text{ odd, } i \geq 3 \end{cases}.$

(iii) $K_{2i-1}^{(i)}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} = K_{2i-1}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for $i \geq 1$.

Algebraic K-theory of number fields

Clearly, $K_0(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}$, $K_1(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} = F_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times} := F^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

Borel computed:

(i) $K_{2i}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} = 0$ for $i \geq 1$.

(ii) $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(K_{2i-1}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \begin{cases} r_2 & \text{if } i \text{ even, } i \geq 2 \\ r_1 + r_2 & \text{if } i \text{ odd, } i \geq 3 \end{cases}$.

(iii) $K_{2i-1}^{(i)}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} = K_{2i-1}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for $i \geq 1$.

Consequence:

$$H_i^{\text{CE}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{MTM}}(F))_n = \begin{cases} K_{2n-1}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \text{if } i = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Algebraic K-theory of number fields

Clearly, $K_0(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}$, $K_1(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} = F_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times} := F^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

Borel computed:

(i) $K_{2i}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} = 0$ for $i \geq 1$.

(ii) $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(K_{2i-1}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \begin{cases} r_2 & \text{if } i \text{ even, } i \geq 2 \\ r_1 + r_2 & \text{if } i \text{ odd, } i \geq 3 \end{cases}$.

(iii) $K_{2i-1}^{(i)}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} = K_{2i-1}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for $i \geq 1$.

Consequence:

$$H_i^{\text{CE}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{MTM}}(F))_n = \begin{cases} K_{2n-1}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \text{if } i = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{L}^{\text{MTM}}(F) \cong \text{cofree}_{\text{coLie}}(\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} K_{2n-1}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}})$ (non canonically!)

Goncharov's program

Goal: To describe Lie coalgebra of mixed Tate motives explicitly (generators and relations)

Goal: To describe Lie coalgebra of mixed Tate motives explicitly (generators and relations)

⇒ Explicit symbolic description of (rationalized) K-theory.

Goncharov's program

Goal: To describe Lie coalgebra of mixed Tate motives explicitly (generators and relations)

⇒ Explicit symbolic description of (rationalized) K-theory.

Goncharov: $\mathrm{Li}_{n_1, \dots, n_k}^{\mathrm{MTM}}(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathcal{L}_{n_1 + \dots + n_k}^{\mathrm{MTM}}(F)$ for $a_1, \dots, a_k \in F$.

Goncharov's program

Goal: To describe Lie coalgebra of mixed Tate motives explicitly (generators and relations)

⇒ Explicit symbolic description of (rationalized) K-theory.

Goncharov: $\text{Li}_{n_1, \dots, n_k}^{\text{MTM}}(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathcal{L}_{n_1 + \dots + n_k}^{\text{MTM}}(F)$ for $a_1, \dots, a_k \in F$.

These are “motivic lifts” of *multiple polylogarithm* functions

$$\text{Li}_{n_1, \dots, n_k}^{\text{MTM}}(a_1, \dots, a_k) = \sum_{0 < m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_k} \frac{a_1^{m_1} a_2^{m_2} \dots a_k^{m_k}}{m_1^{n_1} m_2^{n_2} \dots m_k^{n_k}}$$

for $|a_i| < 1$, $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Fact: They are periods of mixed Tate type.

Goncharov's program

Goal: To describe Lie coalgebra of mixed Tate motives explicitly (generators and relations)

⇒ Explicit symbolic description of (rationalized) K-theory.

Goncharov: $\text{Li}_{n_1, \dots, n_k}^{\text{MTM}}(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathcal{L}_{n_1 + \dots + n_k}^{\text{MTM}}(F)$ for $a_1, \dots, a_k \in F$.

These are “motivic lifts” of *multiple polylogarithm* functions

$$\text{Li}_{n_1, \dots, n_k}^{\text{MTM}}(a_1, \dots, a_k) = \sum_{0 < m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_k} \frac{a_1^{m_1} a_2^{m_2} \dots a_k^{m_k}}{m_1^{n_1} m_2^{n_2} \dots m_k^{n_k}}$$

for $|a_i| < 1$, $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Fact: They are periods of mixed Tate type.

Universality conjecture (Goncharov): motivic multiple polylogs span $\mathcal{L}^{\text{MTM}}(F)$ as a $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space. ⇒ periods of mixed Tate type can be expressed in terms of multiple polylogs.

Results

Theorem (Kupers–Rudenko–S.)

Let F be a number field. Then:

- (i) $\mathcal{L}_n^{\text{MTM}}(F)$ is generated as a $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space by multiple polylogarithmic motives of weight n :*
- (ii) All relations between these follow from the "decomposition relations".*

Theorem (Kupers–Rudenko–S.)

Let F be a number field. Then:

- (i) $\mathcal{L}_n^{\text{MTM}}(F)$ is generated as a $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space by multiple polylogarithmic motives of weight n :
- (ii) All relations between these follow from the "decomposition relations".

Note: δ^{MTM} explicitly described by Goncharov.

E.g. $\delta^{\text{MTM}}(\text{Li}_n^{\text{MTM}}(a)) = \text{Li}_{n-1}^{\text{MTM}}(a) \otimes \text{Li}_1^{\text{MTM}}(1 - a)$.

Theorem (Kupers–Rudenko–S.)

Let F be a number field. Then:

- (i) $\mathcal{L}_n^{\text{MTM}}(F)$ is generated as a $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space by multiple polylogarithmic motives of weight n :*
- (ii) All relations between these follow from the "decomposition relations".*

Note: δ^{MTM} explicitly described by Goncharov.

E.g. $\delta^{\text{MTM}}(\text{Li}_n^{\text{MTM}}(a)) = \text{Li}_{n-1}^{\text{MTM}}(a) \otimes \text{Li}_1^{\text{MTM}}(1-a)$.

Corollary

Goncharov's universality conjecture holds for number fields.

Motivic correlators

Goncharov: *motivic correlators* $\text{Cor}^{\text{MTM}}(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{L}_n^{\text{MTM}}(F)$ for $x_0, \dots, x_n \in F$ not all equal.

Motivic correlators

Goncharov: *motivic correlators* $\text{Cor}^{\text{MTM}}(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{L}_n^{\text{MTM}}(F)$ for $x_0, \dots, x_n \in F$ not all equal.

They are “motivic lifts” of periods of mixed Tate type. E.g.

$$\text{Cor}(0, \dots, 0, a, 1) = - \int_{0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq 1} \frac{\gamma'(t_1) dt_1}{\gamma(t_1) - 1} \frac{\gamma'(t_2) dt_2}{\gamma(t_2)} \cdots \frac{\gamma'(t_n) dt_n}{\gamma(t_n)}$$

for γ a path from 0 to 1.

Motivic correlators

Goncharov: *motivic correlators* $\text{Cor}^{\text{MTM}}(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{L}_n^{\text{MTM}}(F)$ for $x_0, \dots, x_n \in F$ not all equal.

They are “motivic lifts” of periods of mixed Tate type. E.g.

$$\text{Cor}(0, \dots, 0, a, 1) = - \int_{0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq 1} \frac{\gamma'(t_1) dt_1}{\gamma(t_1) - 1} \frac{\gamma'(t_2) dt_2}{\gamma(t_2)} \dots \frac{\gamma'(t_n) dt_n}{\gamma(t_n)}$$

for γ a path from 0 to 1.

Multiple polylogs $\iff$ correlators. E.g. $\text{Li}_n(a) = -\text{Cor}(0, \dots, 0, a, 1)$.

Motivic correlators

Goncharov: *motivic correlators* $\text{Cor}^{\text{MTM}}(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{L}_n^{\text{MTM}}(F)$ for $x_0, \dots, x_n \in F$ not all equal.

They are “motivic lifts” of periods of mixed Tate type. E.g.

$$\text{Cor}(0, \dots, 0, a, 1) = - \int_{0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq 1} \frac{\gamma'(t_1) dt_1}{\gamma(t_1) - 1} \frac{\gamma'(t_2) dt_2}{\gamma(t_2)} \dots \frac{\gamma'(t_n) dt_n}{\gamma(t_n)}$$

for γ a path from 0 to 1.

Multiple polylogs $\iff$ correlators. E.g. $\text{Li}_n(a) = -\text{Cor}(0, \dots, 0, a, 1)$.

Goncharov: formula for δ^{MTM} on motivic correlators:

$$\delta^{\text{MTM}}(\text{Cor}^{\text{MTM}}(x_0, \dots, x_n)) = \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \text{Cor}^{\text{MTM}}(x_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_{j+i}) \wedge \text{Cor}^{\text{MTM}}(x_j, x_{j+i+1}, \dots, x_{j+n})$$

Results II.

More refined version of main theorem:

Results II.

More refined version of main theorem:

Theorem (Kupers– Rudenko– S.)

Let F be a number field. Then:

1. $\mathcal{L}_n^{\text{MTM}}(F)$ is spanned by $\text{Cor}^{\text{MTM}}(x_0, \dots, x_n)$, for $x_0, \dots, x_n \in F$ not all equal.

Results II.

More refined version of main theorem:

Theorem (Kupers– Rudenko– S.)

Let F be a number field. Then:

1. $\mathcal{L}_n^{\text{MTM}}(F)$ is spanned by $\text{Cor}^{\text{MTM}}(x_0, \dots, x_n)$, for $x_0, \dots, x_n \in F$ not all equal.
2. All (linear) relations between motivic correlators are spanned by (homogeneity, cyclic symmetry, shuffles and) the decomposition relations

Results II.

More refined version of main theorem:

Theorem (Kupers– Rudenko– S.)

Let F be a number field. Then:

1. $\mathcal{L}_n^{\text{MTM}}(F)$ is spanned by $\text{Cor}^{\text{MTM}}(x_0, \dots, x_n)$, for $x_0, \dots, x_n \in F$ not all equal.
2. All (linear) relations between motivic correlators are spanned by (homogeneity, cyclic symmetry, shuffles and) the decomposition relations

$$\text{Cor}^{\text{MTM}}(x_0, \dots, x_n) - \text{Cor}^{\text{MTM}}(y_0, \dots, y_n) = \sum_{\iota = ((i_1, j_1), \dots, (i_n, j_n)) \in T(n)} \text{sign}(\iota) \text{Cor}^{\text{MTM}} \left(0, \frac{x_{i_1} - x_{j_1}}{y_{i_1} - y_{j_1}}, \dots, \frac{x_{i_n} - x_{j_n}}{y_{i_n} - y_{j_n}} \right),$$

where we omit terms with $y_{i_k} = y_{j_k}$ for some k .

Results II.

More refined version of main theorem:

Theorem (Kupers– Rudenko– S.)

Let F be a number field. Then:

1. $\mathcal{L}_n^{\text{MTM}}(F)$ is spanned by $\text{Cor}^{\text{MTM}}(x_0, \dots, x_n)$, for $x_0, \dots, x_n \in F$ not all equal.
2. All (linear) relations between motivic correlators are spanned by (homogeneity, cyclic symmetry, shuffles and) the decomposition relations

$$\text{Cor}^{\text{MTM}}(x_0, \dots, x_n) - \text{Cor}^{\text{MTM}}(y_0, \dots, y_n) = \sum_{\iota=((i_1, j_1), \dots, (i_n, j_n)) \in T(n)} \text{sign}(\iota) \text{Cor}^{\text{MTM}}\left(0, \frac{x_{i_1} - x_{j_1}}{y_{i_1} - y_{j_1}}, \dots, \frac{x_{i_n} - x_{j_n}}{y_{i_n} - y_{j_n}}\right),$$

where we omit terms with $y_{i_k} = y_{j_k}$ for some k . $T(n)$ = certain collection of trees with vertices $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ and ordering on set of edges.

Results III

Set $T(n)$ determined inductively. E.g. $T(2)$ consists of tuples $(\{0, 1\}, \{0, 2\})$, $(\{0, 1\}, \{1, 2\})$, and $(\{0, 2\}, \{1, 2\})$ with the signs

$$\text{sign}((\{0, 1\}, \{0, 2\})) = 1, \quad \text{sign}((\{0, 1\}, \{1, 2\})) = -1, \quad \text{sign}((\{0, 2\}, \{1, 2\})) = 1.$$

Results III

Set $T(n)$ determined inductively. E.g. $T(2)$ consists of tuples $(\{0, 1\}, \{0, 2\})$, $(\{0, 1\}, \{1, 2\})$, and $(\{0, 2\}, \{1, 2\})$ with the signs

$$\text{sign}((\{0, 1\}, \{0, 2\})) = 1, \quad \text{sign}((\{0, 1\}, \{1, 2\})) = -1, \quad \text{sign}((\{0, 2\}, \{1, 2\})) = 1.$$

δ^{MTM} given by Goncharov.

Results III

Set $T(n)$ determined inductively. E.g. $T(2)$ consists of tuples $(\{0, 1\}, \{0, 2\})$, $(\{0, 1\}, \{1, 2\})$, and $(\{0, 2\}, \{1, 2\})$ with the signs

$$\text{sign}((\{0, 1\}, \{0, 2\})) = 1, \quad \text{sign}((\{0, 1\}, \{1, 2\})) = -1, \quad \text{sign}((\{0, 2\}, \{1, 2\})) = 1.$$

δ^{MTM} given by Goncharov.

Homogeneity, cyclic symmetry and shuffles are redundant relations (Ko Aoki).

Results III

Set $T(n)$ determined inductively. E.g. $T(2)$ consists of tuples $(\{0, 1\}, \{0, 2\})$, $(\{0, 1\}, \{1, 2\})$, and $(\{0, 2\}, \{1, 2\})$ with the signs

$$\text{sign}((\{0, 1\}, \{0, 2\})) = 1, \quad \text{sign}((\{0, 1\}, \{1, 2\})) = -1, \quad \text{sign}((\{0, 2\}, \{1, 2\})) = 1.$$

δ^{MTM} given by Goncharov.

Homogeneity, cyclic symmetry and shuffles are redundant relations (Ko Aoki).

For $n = 2$, $\mathcal{L}_2^{\text{MTM}}(F) \cong B_2(F)$ and decomposition relation $\iff$ 5-term relation.

For $n = 3$ one gets Goncharov's $B_3(F)$ and decomposition $\iff$ 22-term relation.

Results III

Set $T(n)$ determined inductively. E.g. $T(2)$ consists of tuples $(\{0, 1\}, \{0, 2\})$, $(\{0, 1\}, \{1, 2\})$, and $(\{0, 2\}, \{1, 2\})$ with the signs

$$\text{sign}((\{0, 1\}, \{0, 2\})) = 1, \quad \text{sign}((\{0, 1\}, \{1, 2\})) = -1, \quad \text{sign}((\{0, 2\}, \{1, 2\})) = 1.$$

δ^{MTM} given by Goncharov.

Homogeneity, cyclic symmetry and shuffles are redundant relations (Ko Aoki).

For $n = 2$, $\mathcal{L}_2^{\text{MTM}}(F) \cong B_2(F)$ and decomposition relation $\iff$ 5-term relation.

For $n = 3$ one gets Goncharov's $B_3(F)$ and decomposition $\iff$ 22-term relation.

Similar results were known for $\mathbb{Z}$ by work of F. Brown.

The proof

The Goncharov Lie coalgebra I

$F = \text{field.}$

The Goncharov Lie coalgebra I

$F = \text{field}.$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} := \bigoplus_n C_*(GL_n(F), \mathbb{Q}) \in \text{Alg}_{E_{\infty}}(\text{Ch}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{N}}).$$

The Goncharov Lie coalgebra I

$F = \text{field.}$

$\Rightarrow \mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} := \bigoplus_n C_*(GL_n(F), \mathbb{Q}) \in \text{Alg}_{E_{\infty}}(\text{Ch}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{N}}).$ Idea:

1. $\bigoplus_n C_*(GL_n(F), \mathbb{Q})$ is a graded chain complex, grading = dimension.

The Goncharov Lie coalgebra I

$F = \text{field}.$

$\Rightarrow \mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} := \bigoplus_n C_*(GL_n(F), \mathbb{Q}) \in \text{Alg}_{E_{\infty}}(\text{Ch}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{N}}).$ Idea:

1. $\bigoplus_n C_*(GL_n(F), \mathbb{Q})$ is a graded chain complex, grading = dimension.
2. Block diagonal maps $GL_n(F) \times GL_m(F) \rightarrow GL_{n+m}(F)$ give a homotopy-coherent multiplication. (Commutative in a homotopy coherent sense.)

The Goncharov Lie coalgebra I

$F = \text{field}.$

$\Rightarrow \mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} := \bigoplus_n C_*(GL_n(F), \mathbb{Q}) \in \text{Alg}_{E_{\infty}}(\text{Ch}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{N}}).$ Idea:

1. $\bigoplus_n C_*(GL_n(F), \mathbb{Q})$ is a graded chain complex, grading = dimension.
2. Block diagonal maps $GL_n(F) \times GL_m(F) \rightarrow GL_{n+m}(F)$ give a homotopy-coherent multiplication. (Commutative in a homotopy coherent sense.)
3. Homology groups of $\mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ are bi-graded by dimension and homological degree:

$$H_{n,d}(\mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}) = H_d(GL_n(F), \mathbb{Q}).$$

The Goncharov Lie coalgebra I

$F = \text{field.}$

$\Rightarrow \mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} := \bigoplus_n C_*(GL_n(F), \mathbb{Q}) \in \text{Alg}_{E_{\infty}}(\text{Ch}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{N}})$. Idea:

1. $\bigoplus_n C_*(GL_n(F), \mathbb{Q})$ is a graded chain complex, grading = dimension.
2. Block diagonal maps $GL_n(F) \times GL_m(F) \rightarrow GL_{n+m}(F)$ give a homotopy-coherent multiplication. (Commutative in a homotopy coherent sense.)
3. Homology groups of $\mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ are bi-graded by dimension and homological degree:

$$H_{n,d}(\mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}) = H_d(GL_n(F), \mathbb{Q}).$$

4. This algebra "knows everything about K-theory".

The Goncharov Lie coalgebra II

One produces object

$$\mathrm{indec}_{E_\infty}(\mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}),$$

informally given by taking indecomposables in a homotopy sense.

One produces object

$$\mathrm{indec}_{E_\infty}(\mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}),$$

informally given by taking indecomposables in a homotopy sense.

More formally: rectify $\mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ to an strictly commutative algebra then take commutative bar construction.

The Goncharov Lie coalgebra II

One produces object

$$\mathrm{indec}_{E_\infty}(\mathbf{BGL}(F)_\mathbb{Q}),$$

informally given by taking indecomposables in a homotopy sense.

More formally: rectify $\mathbf{BGL}(F)_\mathbb{Q}$ to an strictly commutative algebra then take commutative bar construction.

Koszul duality $\Rightarrow \mathrm{indec}_{E_\infty}(\mathbf{BGL}(F)_\mathbb{Q})[1]$ is a Lie coalgebra in $\mathbb{N}$ -graded rational chain complexes.

The Goncharov Lie coalgebra II

One produces object

$$\mathrm{indec}_{E_\infty}(\mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}),$$

informally given by taking indecomposables in a homotopy sense.

More formally: rectify $\mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ to a strictly commutative algebra then take commutative bar construction.

Koszul duality $\Rightarrow \mathrm{indec}_{E_\infty}(\mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}})[1]$ is a Lie coalgebra in $\mathbb{N}$ -graded rational chain complexes.

Thus,

$$H_{n,d}^{E_\infty}(\mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}) := H_d(\mathrm{indec}_{E_\infty}(\mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}})(n))$$

assemble into a shifted Lie coalgebra (cobracket has degree -1).

Galatius–Kupers–Randal-Williams say that

$$H_{n,d}^{E_\infty}(\mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}) = 0 \text{ if } d < 2n - 1 \text{ and } n \geq 2.$$

(For $n = 1$ one has $H_{1,0}^{E_\infty}(\mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathbb{Q}$)

The Goncharov Lie coalgebra III

Galatius–Kupers–Randal-Williams say that

$$H_{n,d}^{E_\infty}(\mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}) = 0 \text{ if } d < 2n - 1 \text{ and } n \geq 2.$$

(For $n = 1$ one has $H_{1,0}^{E_\infty}(\mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathbb{Q}$)

The first non-vanishing groups lie on line $(n, 2n - 1)$, so define a graded Lie coalgebra!

The Goncharov Lie coalgebra III

Galatius–Kupers–Randal-Williams say that

$$H_{n,d}^{E_\infty}(\mathbf{BGL}(F)_\mathbb{Q}) = 0 \text{ if } d < 2n - 1 \text{ and } n \geq 2.$$

(For $n = 1$ one has $H_{1,0}^{E_\infty}(\mathbf{BGL}(F)_\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$)

The first non-vanishing groups lie on line $(n, 2n - 1)$, so define a graded Lie coalgebra!

We define the *Goncharov Lie coalgebra* of a field F to be

$$\mathcal{G}(F) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{G}_n(F) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{G}_n(F) := H_{n,2n-1}^{E_\infty}(\mathbf{BGL}(F)_\mathbb{Q}).$$

The Goncharov Lie coalgebra III

Galatius–Kupers–Randal-Williams say that

$$H_{n,d}^{E_\infty}(\mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}) = 0 \text{ if } d < 2n - 1 \text{ and } n \geq 2.$$

(For $n = 1$ one has $H_{1,0}^{E_\infty}(\mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathbb{Q}$)

The first non-vanishing groups lie on line $(n, 2n - 1)$, so define a graded Lie coalgebra!

We define the *Goncharov Lie coalgebra* of a field F to be

$$\mathcal{G}(F) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{G}_n(F) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{G}_n(F) := H_{n,2n-1}^{E_\infty}(\mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}).$$

Key insight: This object is “motivic” and it is also explicit

The Goncharov Lie coalgebra IV

Q. How to “compute” $\mathcal{G}(F)$?

The Goncharov Lie coalgebra IV

Q. How to “compute” $\mathcal{G}(F)$?

By Galatius–Kupers–Randal-Williams

$$\mathcal{G}_n(F) = H_1(\mathrm{GL}_n(F), \mathrm{St}_n^\infty(F)),$$

where $\mathrm{St}_n^\infty(F) =$ Koszul dual of the Steinberg modules.

Closely related to $\mathrm{St}_n(F) \otimes \mathrm{St}_n(F)$ (Miller–Patz–Wilson, Charlton–Radchenko–Rudenko).

The Goncharov Lie coalgebra IV

Q. How to “compute” $\mathcal{G}(F)$?

By Galatius–Kupers–Randal-Williams

$$\mathcal{G}_n(F) = H_1(\mathrm{GL}_n(F), \mathrm{St}_n^\infty(F)),$$

where $\mathrm{St}_n^\infty(F) =$ Koszul dual of the Steinberg modules.

Closely related to $\mathrm{St}_n(F) \otimes \mathrm{St}_n(F)$ (Miller–Patz–Wilson, Charlton–Radchenko–Rudenko).

Charlton–Radchenko–Rudenko: presentation and bases for $\mathrm{St}_n^\infty(F)$.

$\Rightarrow$ we build projective $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ -resolution and find presentation for $\mathcal{G}_n(F)$. Generators labeled by $\mathrm{Cor}^{\mathcal{G}}(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ for $x_0, \dots, x_n \in F$ not all equal. Relations given by decomposition relations.

The Goncharov Lie coalgebra IV

Q. How to “compute” $\mathcal{G}(F)$?

By Galatius–Kupers–Randal-Williams

$$\mathcal{G}_n(F) = H_1(\mathrm{GL}_n(F), \mathrm{St}_n^\infty(F)),$$

where $\mathrm{St}_n^\infty(F) =$ Koszul dual of the Steinberg modules.

Closely related to $\mathrm{St}_n(F) \otimes \mathrm{St}_n(F)$ (Miller–Patz–Wilson, Charlton–Radchenko–Rudenko).

Charlton–Radchenko–Rudenko: presentation and bases for $\mathrm{St}_n^\infty(F)$.

$\Rightarrow$ we build projective $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ -resolution and find presentation for $\mathcal{G}_n(F)$. Generators labeled by $\mathrm{Cor}^{\mathcal{G}}(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ for $x_0, \dots, x_n \in F$ not all equal. Relations given by decomposition relations.

Computation of cobracket is very technical. Based on finding a secondary cobracket in terms of the cobracket on St^∞ .

Presentation for $\mathcal{G}(F)$ + formula for $\delta \Rightarrow \exists$ *motivic realization* map
 $\mathcal{G}(F) \xrightarrow{r^{\text{MTM}}} \mathcal{L}^{\text{MTM}}(F)$ s.t. $\text{Cor}^{\mathcal{G}}(x_0, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \text{Cor}^{\text{MTM}}(x_0, \dots, x_n)$.

Finishing the proof I

Presentation for $\mathcal{G}(F)$ + formula for $\delta \Rightarrow \exists$ *motivic realization* map
 $\mathcal{G}(F) \xrightarrow{r^{\text{MTM}}} \mathcal{L}^{\text{MTM}}(F)$ s.t. $\text{Cor}^{\mathcal{G}}(x_0, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \text{Cor}^{\text{MTM}}(x_0, \dots, x_n)$.

Goal: prove iso.

Finishing the proof I

Presentation for $\mathcal{G}(F)$ + formula for $\delta \Rightarrow \exists$ *motivic realization* map
 $\mathcal{G}(F) \xrightarrow{r^{\text{MTM}}} \mathcal{L}^{\text{MTM}}(F)$ s.t. $\text{Cor}^{\mathcal{G}}(x_0, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \text{Cor}^{\text{MTM}}(x_0, \dots, x_n)$.

Goal: prove iso.

Idea: Borel–Yang computed $H_d(\text{GL}_n(F), \mathbb{Q})$ for F number field.

Borel Yang + E_∞ -algebra tools $\Rightarrow$

$\mathcal{G}(F) \cong \text{cofree}_{\text{coLie}}(\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} K_{2n-1}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}})$ (non canonically).

Finishing the proof I

Presentation for $\mathcal{G}(F)$ + formula for $\delta \Rightarrow \exists$ *motivic realization* map
 $\mathcal{G}(F) \xrightarrow{r^{\text{MTM}}} \mathcal{L}^{\text{MTM}}(F)$ s.t. $\text{Cor}^{\mathcal{G}}(x_0, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \text{Cor}^{\text{MTM}}(x_0, \dots, x_n)$.

Goal: prove iso.

Idea: Borel–Yang computed $H_d(\text{GL}_n(F), \mathbb{Q})$ for F number field.

Borel Yang + E_∞ -algebra tools $\Rightarrow$

$\mathcal{G}(F) \cong \text{cofree}_{\text{coLie}}(\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} K_{2n-1}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}})$ (non canonically).

Recall: $\mathcal{L}^{\text{MTM}}(F) \cong \text{cofree}_{\text{coLie}}(\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} K_{2n-1}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}})$ too! (non canonically).

Finishing the proof II

It suffices that r^{MTM} induces iso on CE-homology, hence that induces iso on kernels of cobrackets.

Finishing the proof II

It suffices that r^{MTM} induces iso on CE-homology, hence that induces iso on kernels of cobrackets.

For $n = 1$ this is trivial.

For $n \geq 2$ both kernels are abstractly isomorphic to $K_{2n-1}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, a f.d. vector space, so suffices to show r^{MTM} injective.

Finishing the proof II

It suffices that r^{MTM} induces iso on CE-homology, hence that induces iso on kernels of cobrackets.

For $n = 1$ this is trivial.

For $n \geq 2$ both kernels are abstractly isomorphic to $K_{2n-1}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, a f.d. vector space, so suffices to show r^{MTM} injective.

Key: to build a *real period map* $p_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathcal{G}(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

1. Factors through r^{MTM} .

Finishing the proof II

It suffices that r^{MTM} induces iso on CE-homology, hence that induces iso on kernels of cobrackets.

For $n = 1$ this is trivial.

For $n \geq 2$ both kernels are abstractly isomorphic to $K_{2n-1}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, a f.d. vector space, so suffices to show r^{MTM} injective.

Key: to build a *real period map* $p_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathcal{G}(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

1. Factors through r^{MTM} .
2. Composition

$$H_{2n-1}(\text{GL}_n(F), \mathbb{Q}) = H_{n,2n-1}(\mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow H_{n,2n-1}^E(\mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathcal{G}_n(F) \xrightarrow{p_{\mathbb{R}}} \mathbb{R}$$

is measurable + vanishes on $\text{GL}_{n-1}(F) \Rightarrow$ multiple of Borel regulator.

Finishing the proof II

It suffices that r^{MTM} induces iso on CE-homology, hence that induces iso on kernels of cobrackets.

For $n = 1$ this is trivial.

For $n \geq 2$ both kernels are abstractly isomorphic to $K_{2n-1}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, a f.d. vector space, so suffices to show r^{MTM} injective.

Key: to build a *real period map* $p_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathcal{G}(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

1. Factors through r^{MTM} .
2. Composition

$$H_{2n-1}(\text{GL}_n(F), \mathbb{Q}) = H_{n,2n-1}(\mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow H_{n,2n-1}^E(\mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathcal{G}_n(F) \xrightarrow{p_{\mathbb{R}}} \mathbb{R}$$

is measurable + vanishes on $\text{GL}_{n-1}(F) \Rightarrow$ multiple of Borel regulator.

3. One proves non-zero using $\text{Li}_n^{\mathcal{G}}(i)$.

Finishing the proof II

It suffices that r^{MTM} induces iso on CE-homology, hence that induces iso on kernels of cobrackets.

For $n = 1$ this is trivial.

For $n \geq 2$ both kernels are abstractly isomorphic to $K_{2n-1}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, a f.d. vector space, so suffices to show r^{MTM} injective.

Key: to build a *real period map* $p_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathcal{G}(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

1. Factors through r^{MTM} .
2. Composition

$$H_{2n-1}(\text{GL}_n(F), \mathbb{Q}) = H_{n,2n-1}(\mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow H_{n,2n-1}^E(\mathbf{BGL}(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathcal{G}_n(F) \xrightarrow{p_{\mathbb{R}}} \mathbb{R}$$

is measurable + vanishes on $\text{GL}_{n-1}(F) \Rightarrow$ multiple of Borel regulator.

3. One proves non-zero using $\text{Li}_n^{\mathcal{G}}(i)$.

Result then follows since Borel regulator detects all K-theory of F .

Thank you for your attention

- A. Kupers, D. Rudenko, I. Sierra, *The Goncharov Lie coalgebra of a field*, arXiv:2606.23863, 2026.
- A. Kupers, D. Rudenko, I. Sierra, *Mixed Tate motives over number fields*, arXiv:2608.26392, 2026.